UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,539	03/31/2004	David M. Callaghan	03AB111/ALBRP333US	7412
Susan M. Dona	7590 09/21/2007		EXAM	INER
Rockwell Automation			KANE, CORDELIA P	
704-P, IP Department 1201 South 2nd Street Milwaukee, WI 53204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

H

			Application No.	Applicant(s	3)			
Office Action Summary		10/814,539		AN, DAVID M.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	·		Cordelia Kane	2132				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the corresponde	nce address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSIST OF	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may a Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	of this communication.			
Status				•	•			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>31 Ma</i>	rch 2004.		•			
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the a	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-41 are subject to restriction	on and/or e	lection requirement.		•			
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	pted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.8	5(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign ;	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•								
					•			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
- =	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Applicat	ion			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/814,539 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 21 and 30 41, drawn to a system that generates certificates
 for identifying the users or devices.
 - II. Claims 22 29, drawn to a method for defining and downloading rules to a device.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Group 1 is a system that generates certificates that are used to identify the user or the device. Group 2 is a method for defining rules and downloading them to a device that them limits use based on the rules.
- 3. This application further contains claims with group I directed to the following patentably distinct species:
- 4. Species 1: 1 11; and
- 5. Species 2: 12 21
- 6. The species are independent or distinct because Species 1 teaches identifying a user. Species 2 teaches identifying a device. Each species is mutually exclusive of the other.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 30 – 41 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 2132

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cordelia Kane whose telephone number is 571-272-7771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:00 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cordelia Kane Patent Examiner Art Unit 2132